arXiv:1605.03756v1 [math.NT] 12 May 2016

ON X-COORDINATES OF PELL EQUATIONS WHICH ARE REPDIGITS

BERNADETTE FAYE, FLORIAN LUCA

Abstract. Let $b \geq 2$ be a given integer. In this paper, we show that there only finitely many positive integers d which are not squares, such that the Pell equation $X^2 - dY^2 = 1$ has two positive integer solutions (X, Y) with the property that their X-coordinates are base b-repdigits. We also give an upper bound on the largest such d in terms of b.

1. Introduction

Let $d > 1$ be a positive integer which is not a perfect square. It is well known that the Pell equation

$$X^2 - dY^2 = 1 \tag{1}$$

has infinitely many positive integer solution (X, Y). Furthermore, putting (X_1, Y_1) for the smallest solution, all solutions are of the form (X_n, Y_n) for some positive integer n, where

$$X_n + \sqrt{d}Y_n = (X_1 + \sqrt{d}Y_1)^n.$$

There are many papers in the literature which treat Diophantine equations involving members of the sequences $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ and/or $\{Y_n\}_{n\geq 1}$, such as when are these numbers squares, or perfect powers of fixed or variable exponents of some others positive integers, or Fibonacci numbers, etc. Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer. A natural number N is called a *base b-repdigit* if all of its base b-digits are equal. Setting $a \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b-1\}$ for the common value of the repeating digit and m for the number of base b-digits of N, we have

$$N = a\left(\frac{b^m - 1}{b - 1}\right). \tag{2}$$

When $a = 1$, such numbers are called *base b-repunits*. When $b = 10$, we omit mentioning the base and simply say that N is a *repdigit*. In [5], A.D.Yovo, F. Luca and A. Togbé proved that when d is fixed there is at most one n such that X_n is a repdigit with a couple of exceptions on d which they explicitly determined. In this paper, we prove that the analogous result holds if we replace "repdigits" by "base b-repdigits" and give an explicit bound depending on b on the largest possible exceptional d.

Of course, for every integer $X \geq 2$, there is a unique square-free integer $d \geq 2$ such that

$$X^2 - 1 = dY^2 \tag{3}$$

for some positive integer Y. In particular, if we start with an N given as in (2), then N is the X-coordinate of the solution to the Pell equation corresponding to the number d obtained as in (3). Thus the problem becomes interesting only when we ask that the Pell equation corresponding to some d (fixed or variable) has at least two positive integer solutions whose X-coordinates are base b-repdigits.

Here, we apply the method from [5], together with an explicit estimate on the absolute value of the largest integer solution to an elliptic equation and prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let $b \geq 2$ be fixed. Let $d \geq 2$ be squarefree and let $(X_n, Y_n) := (X_n(d), Y_n(d))$ be the nth positive integer solution of the Pell equation $X^2 - dY^2 = 1$. If the Diophantine equation*

$$X_n = a\left(\frac{b^m - 1}{b-1}\right) \qquad \text{with} \qquad a \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b-1\} \tag{4}$$

has two positive integer solutions (n, a, m), then

$$d \leq \exp\left((10b)^{10^5}\right).$$

The proof proceeds in two cases according to wether n is even or odd. If n is even, we reduce the problem to the study of integer points on some elliptic curves of a particular form. Here, we use an upper bound on the naïve height of the largest such point due to Baker. When n is odd, we use lower bounds for linear forms in complex and p-adic logarithms. For a nonzero integer k and a prime p we use $\nu_p(k)$ for the exact exponent of p in the factorization of k.

2. Case when some n is even

Assume that n satisfies (4). Put $n = 2n_1$. Then using known formulas for the solutions to Pell equations, (4) implies that

$$2X_{n_1}^2 - 1 = X_{2n_1} = X_n = a\left(\frac{b^m - 1}{b-1}\right). \tag{5}$$

Assume first that $a = b - 1$. Then (5) gives

$$2X_{n_1}^2 = b^m. \tag{6}$$

We first deduce that b is even. If $m = 1$, then

$$d \leq dY_{n_1}^2 = X_{n_1}^2 - 1 < 2X_{n_1}^2 = b,$$

so $d < b$, which is a much better inequality than the one we aim for in general.

From now on, we assume that any solution of (4) which has n even and $a = b - 1$ has $m > 1$. Then $X_{n_1}^2 = b^m/2$. Since $m > 1$, it follows that X_{n_1} is even. This shows that n_1 is odd, for otherwise, if $n_1 = 2n_2$ is even, then $X_{n_1} = X_{2n_2} = 2X_{n_2}^2 - 1$ is odd, a contradiction. Furthermore, the prime factors of X_{n_1} are exactly all the prime factors of b. Let us show that n is then unique. Indeed, assume that there exists $n' = 2n_1'$ such that $(n', b-1, m')$ is a solution of (4). Then also $X_{n_1'}^2 = b^{m'}/2$, so X_{n_1} and $X_{n_1'}$ have the same set of prime factors. Since $X_{n_1} = Y_{2n_1}/(2Y_{n_1})$ and $X_{n_1'} = Y_{2n_1'}/(2Y_{n_1'})$, the conclusion that X_{n_1} and $X_{n_1'}$ have the same set of prime factors is false if $\max\{n_1, n_1'\} \geq 7$ by Carmichael's Theorem on Primitive Divisors for the sequence $\{Y_s\}_{s \geq 1}$ (namely that Y_k has a prime factor not dividing any Y_s for any $s < k$ provided that $k \geq 13$, see [2]). Thus, $n_1,\ n_1' \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ and one checks by hand that no two of $X_1,\ X_3,\ X_5$ can have the same set of prime factors.

Thus, n is unique and $2^{\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor}$ divides X_{n_1}. It is well-known that $\nu_2(X_{n_1}) = \nu_2(X_1)$. Hence, X_1 is a multiple of $2^{\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor}$. Further, since we are assuming that equation (4) has two solutions (n, a, m), it follows that there exists another solution either with n even and $a \neq b - 1$, or with n odd.

We now move on to analyze the case in which there exists a solution with n even and $a \neq b - 1$.

Put $m = 3m_0 + r$ with $r \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Putting $x := X_{n_1}$ and $y := b^{m_0}$, equation (5) becomes

$$2x^2 - 1 = a\left(\frac{b^r y^3 - 1}{b - 1}\right). \tag{7}$$

This leads to

$$X^2 = Y^3 + A_0, \tag{8}$$

where

$$X := 4a(b-1)^2 b^r x, \qquad Y := 2a(b-1)b^r y, \qquad A_0 := 4a(b-1)^2 b^r((b-1) - a).$$

Note that $A_0 \neq 0$. Let us now recall the following result of Baker (see [1]).

Theorem 2. *Let $A_0 \neq 0$. Then all integer solutions (X, Y) of (8) satisfy*

$$\max\{|x|, |y|\} < \exp\{(10^{10}|A_0|)^{10^4}\}.$$

For us, $|A_0| < 4b^6 \leq 0.5b^{10}$, so

$$(10^{10}|A_0|)^{10^4} \leq (0.5 \times 10^{10} b^{10})^{10^4} < 0.5(10b)^{10^5}.$$

Theorem 2 applied to equation (8) tells us that

$$X < \exp(0.5(10b)^{10^5}).$$

Since $X \geq X_{n_1} > \sqrt{d}$, we get that

$$d < \exp((10b)^{10^5}),$$

which is what we want. So, let us conclude this section by summarizing what we have proved.

Lemma 3. *Assume that there is a solution (n, a, m) to equation (4) with n even. Then one of the following holds:*

(i) *$a < b - 1$ and*
$$d < \exp((10b)^{10^5}).$$
(ii) *$a = b - 1$, $m = 1$, and $d < b$.*
(iii) *$a = b-1$, $m > 1$. Then b is even, $n = 2n_1$ is unique with this property, $X_{n_1} = b^m/2$ and $2^{\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor}$ divides X_1.*

3. On the greatest divisor of repdigits

From now on, we look at the case when equation (4) has solutions (n, a, m) with n odd. Say,

$$X_n = a\left(\frac{b^m - 1}{b - 1}\right).$$

If some other solution (n', a', m') to equation (4) does not have n' odd, then n' must be even so Lemma 3 applies to it. If we are in one of the instances (i) or (ii) of Lemma 3, then we are done. So, let us assume that we are in instance (iii) of Lemma 3, so n' is even and $2^{\lfloor (m'-1)/2 \rfloor}$ divides X_1. But since n is odd, X_1 also divides X_n. Since b is even, $(b^m - 1)/(b - 1)$ is odd, so $2^{\lfloor (m'-1)/2 \rfloor}$ divides a. Hence, $2^{m'-1} \leq 2a^2 \leq b^3$. Since $m' \leq 2^{m'-1}$, we get that $m' \leq b^3$. Writing $n' = 2n_1'$, and using again (iii) of Lemma 3, we get that

$$d < X_1^2 \leq X_{n_1'}^2 = b^{m'}/2 < b^{m'} < b^{b^3} < \exp(b^4),$$

which is good enough for us.

From now on, we assume that both solutions of equation (4) have an odd value for n. Let such indices be $n_1 \neq n_2$. Then

$$X_{n_1} = a_1 \left(\frac{b^{m_1}-1}{b-1} \right), \quad X_{n_2} = a_2 \left(\frac{b^{m_2}-1}{b-1} \right), \quad \text{where} \qquad a_1, a_2 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b-1\}.$$

Let $n_3 := \gcd(n_1, n_2)$. Since n_1 and n_2 are odd, from known properties of solutions to the Pell equation, we get that

$$X_{n_3} = \gcd(X_{n_1}, X_{n_2}).$$

We put $a_3 := \gcd(a_1, a_2)$, $a_1' := a_1/a_3, a_2' := a_2/a_3$. We also put $m_3 := \gcd(m_1, m_2)$ and use the fact that

$$\gcd(b^{m_1}-1, b^{m_2}-1) = b^{m_3}-1.$$

We then get,

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n_3} &= \gcd(X_{n_1}, X_{n_2}) \\ &= \gcd\left(a_1 \frac{b^{m_1}-1}{b-1}, a_2 \frac{b^{m_2}-1}{b-1} \right) \\ &= a_3 \left(\frac{b^{m_3}-1}{b-1} \right) \gcd\left(a_1' \frac{b^{m_1}-1}{b^{m_3}-1}, a_2' \frac{b^{m_2}-1}{b^{m_3}-1} \right) \\ &:= a_3 c \left(\frac{b^{m_3}-1}{b-1} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The quantities inside the greatest common divisor denoted by c have the propertie that a_1', a_2' are coprime, $(b^{m_1}-1)/(b^{m_3}-1)$ and $(b^{m_2}-1)/(b^{m_3}-1)$ are also coprime. Thus,

$$\ell = \gcd\left(a_1', \frac{b^{m_2}-1}{b^{m_3}-1} \right) \gcd\left(\frac{b^{m_1}-1}{b^{m_3}-1}, a_2' \right),$$

which implies that $c \leq a_1' a_2' = (a_1 a_2)/a_3^2$. Hence, $a_3 c \leq (a_1 a_2)/a_3 < b^2$. Replacing $a_3 c$ by a_3, we retain the conclusion that

$$X_{n_3} = a_3 \left(\frac{b^{m_3}-1}{b-1} \right), \quad \text{where} \quad a_3 \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, (b-1)^2\}.$$

Since $n_1 \neq n_2$, we may assume that $n_1 < n_2$, and then $n_3 < n_2$ and n_3 is a proper divisor of n_2. Putting $n := n_1/n_3, D := x_{n_3}^2 - 1 \geq d, m := m_3, \ell := m_1/m_3$ and relabeling a_1 and $a_3 c$ as c and a, respectively, we can restate the problem now as follows:

Problem 4. *What can you say about D such that*

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= a \left(\frac{b^m-1}{b-1} \right), \quad \textit{with} \quad a \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, (b-1)^2\} \\ X_n &= c \left(\frac{b^{m\ell}-1}{b-1} \right), \quad \textit{with} \quad c \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, b-1\}, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $n > 1$ is odd and ℓ, m are positive integers.

From now on, we work with the system (10). By abuse of notation, we continue to use d instead of D.

4. Bounding ℓ in term of n

We may assume that $m \geq 100$ otherwise

$$\sqrt{d} < X_1 < b^m < b^{100},$$

so $d < b^{200}$, which is better than the inequality we are after. We put

$$\alpha := X_1 + \sqrt{X_1^2 - 1} = X_1 + \sqrt{d}Y_1.$$

On one hand, from the first relation of (10), we have that

$$X_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha + \alpha^{-1}\right) = a\left(\frac{b^m - 1}{b-1}\right),$$

so

$$\alpha = X_1 + \sqrt{d}Y_1 > X_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha + \alpha^{-1}\right) = a\left(\frac{b^m - 1}{b-1}\right) > b^{m-1}. \tag{11}$$

Hence, $\alpha > b^{m-1}$ implying

$$m - 1 < \frac{\log \alpha}{\log b}.$$

One the other hand,

$$\frac{X_1 + \sqrt{d}Y_1}{2} = \frac{\alpha}{2} < \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1}) = a\left(\frac{b^m - 1}{b-1}\right) \leq a(b^m - 1) < ab^m < b^{m+2}. \tag{12}$$

Hence, $\alpha < 2b^{m+2} \leq b^{m+3}$ implying

$$\frac{\log \alpha}{\log b} < m + 3.$$

Thus,

$$m - 1 < \frac{\log \alpha}{\log b} < m + 3. \tag{13}$$

We now exploit the second relation of (10). On one hand, we get that

$$\alpha^n > X_n = c\left(\frac{b^{m\ell} - 1}{b-1}\right) > b^{m\ell - 1}, \tag{14}$$

therefore

$$m\ell - 1 < n\left(\frac{\log \alpha}{\log b}\right) < n(m+3),$$

where the last inequality follows from (13). Since m is large ($m \geq 100$), we certainly get that

$$\ell < 2n + 1. \tag{15}$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{\alpha^n}{2} < \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha^n + \alpha^{-n}\right) = X_n < b^{m\ell} < (b\alpha)^\ell,$$

where the last inequality follows from (11). The last inequality above leads to

$$\alpha^n < (2b\alpha)^\ell < (\alpha^{3/2})^\ell, \tag{16}$$

where we used the fact that $\alpha^{1/2} > 2b$, or $\alpha > 4b^2$, which follows from the fact that $\alpha > b^{m-1}$ together with the fact that $m \geq 100$. Inequality (16) yields

$$\ell > 2n/3,$$

which together with (15) gives

$$2n/3 < \ell < 2n + 1. \tag{17}$$

5. Bounding m in term of n

Here, we use the Chebyshev polynomial $P_n(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ for which $P_n(X_1) = X_n$. Recall that

$$P_n(X) = \frac{1}{2}\left((X + \sqrt{X^2-1})^n + (X - \sqrt{X^2-1})^n\right).$$

Using the second relation of (10), we have by Taylor's formula:

$$\begin{aligned}(c/(b-1))b^{m\ell} - c/(b-1) &= X_n \\ &= P_n(X_1) \\ &= P_n\left((a/(b-1))b^m - a/(b-1)\right) \qquad (18)\\ &= P_n(-a/(b-1)) + P_n'(-a/(b-1))(a/(b-1))b^m \pmod{b^{2m}}\end{aligned}$$

Here, $1/(b-1) \pmod{b^m}$ is to be interpreted as the multiplicative inverse of $b-1$ modulo b^m, which exists since $b-1$ and b are coprime.

Case 1. Suppose that $a = b-1$.

Then $X_1 + 1 = b^m$. Put $Y = X + 1$ and denote

$$Q_n(Y) := P_n(Y-1) = \frac{1}{2}(((Y-1) + \sqrt{(Y-1)^2-1})^n + ((Y-1) - \sqrt{(Y-1)^2-1)^n}).$$

It was proved in [5] that

$$Q_n(0) = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_n'(0) = n^2.$$

By Taylor's formula again, we get

$$P_n(X) = Q_n(X+1) = n^2(X+1) - 1 \qquad (\mathrm{mod}\ (X+1)^2).$$

Specializing at $X = X_1$ and $a = b-1$, equation (18) becomes

$$-c/(b-1) \equiv X_n \pmod{b^m} \equiv -1 + n^2 b^m \pmod{b^{2m}}.$$

If $c \neq b-1$, we get that $b^m \mid b-1-c$. Since $m \geq 100$ and $c < b^2$ and $c \neq b-1$, we get that $b^{100} \leq |b-1-c| < b^2$, a contradiction. If $c = b-1$, then

$$-1 \equiv -1 + n^2 b^m \pmod{b^{2m}}.$$

The above congruence implies that

$$b^m \mid n^2. \qquad (19)$$

Since n is odd, b is odd also. Hence, $b \geq 3$. Thus,

$$b^m < n^2 \quad \text{therefore} \quad m < 2\left(\frac{\log n}{\log b}\right) < 2\log n. \qquad (20)$$

Case 2. Suppose that $a \neq b-1$.

We put

$$\beta := -a/(b-1) + \sqrt{(-a/(b-1))^2 - 1}.$$

Equation (18) gives

$$b^m \mid P_n(-a/(b-1)) + c/(b-1). \qquad (21)$$

where the above divisibility is to be interpreted that b^m divides the numerator of the rational number $P_n(-a/(b-1)) + c/(b-1)$ written in reduced form. We observe that

$$P_n(-a/(b-1)) + c/(b-1) = (1/2)\beta^{-n}(\beta^n - \gamma)(\beta^n - \gamma^{-1}),$$

where $\gamma := -c/(b-1) + \sqrt{(-c/(b-1))^2 - 1}$. Hence,

$$b^m \mid (\beta^n - \gamma)(\beta^n - \gamma^{-1}). \qquad (22)$$

It could be however that the right–hand side of (22) is zero and then divisibility relation (22) is not useful. In that case, we return to (18), use the fact that $P_n(-a/(b-1)) + c/(b-1) = 0$, to infer that

$$b^m \mid P_n'(-a/(b-1)). \tag{23}$$

Calculating we get

$$P_n'(X) = \frac{n}{\sqrt{X^2-1}}\left((X+\sqrt{X^2-1})^n - (X-\sqrt{X^2-1})^n\right).$$

Thus,

$$b^m \mid \frac{n(b-1)}{\sqrt{a^2-(b-1)^2}}\left(\beta^n - \beta^{-n}\right),$$

so

$$b^m \mid n(\beta^n - \beta^{-n}). \tag{24}$$

To continue, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *The simultaneous system of equations $\beta^{-n} = \beta^n = \gamma^i$ for some $i \in \{\pm 1\}$ has no solutions.*

Proof. If $\beta^n = \beta^{-n}$, then $\beta^{2n} = 1$. Hence, β is a root of unity of order dividing $2n$. Since β is rational or quadratic and n is odd, we deduce that the order of β is $1, 2, 3, 6$. If the order of β is $1, 2$, we then get $\beta = \pm 1$. With $x := -a/(b-1)$, we get

$$x + \sqrt{x^2-1} = \pm 1,$$

whose solutions are $x = \pm 1$. This leads to $a = \pm(b-1)$, which is false because $1 \le a < b-1$. Hence, the order of β is $3, 6$. It follows that

$$x + \sqrt{x^2-1} = \pm(1/2 \pm \sqrt{3}i/2).$$

This gives $x = \pm 1/2$. Hence, $-a/(b-1) = \pm 1/2$, giving that $a = (b-1)/2$, b is odd and $\beta = -(1/2 \pm i\sqrt{3}/2)$. Thus, $\beta^n = 1$, showing that $\gamma = 1$. With $y = -c/(b-1)$, we get $y + \sqrt{y^2-1} = 1$, giving $y = 1$. Thus, $c = -(b-1)$, a contradiction. □

Thus, there exists $(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \{(\beta, \gamma), (\beta, \beta)\}$ and $(b_1, b_2) \in \{(n, 1), (n, -1), (n, n)\}$ such that

$$b^m \mid n^2(\delta_1^{b_1}\delta_2^{b_2} - 1), \tag{25}$$

and such that furthermore the expression appearing in the right–hand side of (25) is nonzero. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}[\beta, \gamma]$ be of degree D. Note that $D \le 4$. Let p be any prime factor of b and let π be some ideal in $\mathbb{K}$ dividing p. Then (20) and (24) tell us that

$$m \le \nu_\pi(\delta_1^{b_1} - \delta_2^{b_2}) + 2D\nu_p(n).$$

Now, we use a linear form in p-adic logarithm due to K. Yu [7], to get an upper bound for m in terms of n. We recall the statement of Yu's result.

Theorem 6. *Let $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_t$ be algebraic numbers in the field $\mathbb{K}$ of degree D, and $b_1, \ldots, b_t$ be nonzero integers. Put*

$$\Lambda = \delta_1^{b_1} \ldots \delta_t^{b_t} - 1$$

and

$$B \ge \max\{|b_1|, \ldots, |b_t|\}.$$

Let π a prime ideal of $\mathbb{K}$ sitting above the rational prime p of ramification e_π and

$$H_i \ge \max\{h(\delta_i), \log p\} \qquad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, t,$$

where $h(\delta)$ is the Weil height of δ. If $\Lambda \ne 0$, then

$$v_\pi(\Lambda) \le 19(20\sqrt{t+1}D)^{2(t+1)} \cdot e_\pi^{t-1}\frac{p^{f_\pi}}{(f_\pi \log p)^2}\log(e^5 tD)H_1 \cdots H_t \log B. \tag{26}$$

In our application, we take $t = 2$,

$$(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \{(\beta, \gamma), (\beta, \beta)\} \quad \text{and} \quad (b_1, b_2) \in \{(n, 1), (n, -1), (n, n)\}, \quad \text{respectively,}$$

according to which Λ is nonzero. Thus, $B = n$ and $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \{\beta, \gamma\}$. Hence, we get

$$v_\pi(\Lambda) \leq 19(20\sqrt{3} \cdot 4)^6 \cdot e_\pi \frac{p^{f_\pi}}{(f_\pi \log 2)^2} \log(8e^5) H^2 \log n \tag{27}$$

where

$$H \geq \max\{h(\beta), h(\gamma), \log p\}.$$

Since β, γ are roots of the polynomials

$$(b-1)^2 X^2 + (2a)X + 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad (b-1)^2 X^2 + (2c)X + 1,$$

are of degree at most 2 and both these numbers as well as their conjugates are in absolute values at most

$$(b-1)^2/(b-1) + \sqrt{((b-1)^2/(b-1)) - 1} < 2b,$$

we conclude that

$$\max\{h(\beta), h(\gamma)\} < 2\log(2b) \leq 4 \log b.$$

Since $p \leq b$, we can take $H = 4 \log b$. Furthermore, since $e_\pi \leq 4$ and $f_\pi \leq 4$, and $D\nu_p(n) \leq 4(\log n)/(\log 2) < 8 \log n$, inequalities (25) and (27) yield

$$m \leq 1.3 \times 10^{17} b^4 (\log b)^2 \log n + 16 \log n < 2 \times 10^{17} b^6 \log n. \tag{28}$$

We record this as a lemma.

Lemma 7. *If the equation (4) has a solution, then*

$$m < 2 \times 10^{17} b^6 \log n.$$

6. Bounding n in term of b

The second equation of (10) gives

$$\alpha^n + \alpha^{-n} = 2X_n = (2c/(b-1))b^{m\ell} - (2c/(b-1)).$$

Since

$$(2c/(b-1))b^{m\ell} - \alpha^n = \alpha^{-n} + (2c/(b-1)),$$

the above leads to

$$0 < (2c/(b-1))b^{m\ell}\alpha^{-n} - 1 < \frac{3}{\alpha^n} < \frac{1}{\alpha^{n-2}}. \tag{29}$$

The left hand side above is non-zero because α^n is rational. We find a lower bound on it using a lower bound for a nonzero linear form in logarithms of Matveev [6] which we now state.

Theorem 8. *In the notation of Theorem 6, assume in addition that $\mathbb{K}$ is real and*

$$H_i \geq \max\{Dh(\delta_i), |\log \delta_i|, 0.16\} \quad \textit{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, t.$$

If $\Lambda \neq 0$, then

$$\log |\Lambda| \geq -1.4 \cdot 30^{t+3} \cdot t^{4.5} \cdot D^2 (1 + \log D)(1 + \log B) H_1 \cdots H_t. \tag{30}$$

We take $t = 3$, $\delta_1 = 2c/(b-1)$, $\delta_2 = b$, $\beta_3 = \alpha$, $b_1 = 1$, $b_2 = m\ell$, $b_3 = -n$. Since $\ell \leq 2n$ (see (17)), we can take $B = 2mn$. Now the algebraic numbers $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$ belong to $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$, a field of degree $D = 2$. Since $h(\delta_1) \leq \log(2b) \leq 2 \log b$, we can take $H_1 = 4 \log b$ and $H_2 = 2 \log b$. Furthermore, since α is a quadratic unit, we can take $H_3 = \log \alpha$. Thus, we get, by (29), that

$$(n-2) \log \alpha \leq -\log \Lambda \leq 1.4 \cdot 30^6 \cdot 3^{4.5} \cdot 2^2 \cdot (1 + \log 2)(1 + \log 2mn)(8(\log b)^2) \log \alpha,$$

giving

$$n \leq 10^{13}(1+\log(2mn))(\log b)^2.$$

Inserting (28) into the above inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} n &\leq 10^{13}(1+\log(4\times 10^{17}b^6 n\log n))(\log b)^2 \\ &< 10^{13}(1+\log(4\times 10^{17})+6\log b+2\log n)(\log b)^2 \\ &< 10^{13}\cdot 42\cdot(6\log b)(2\log n)(\log b)^2 \\ &< 6\times 10^{15}(\log b)^3\log n. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

In the above and in what follows we use the fact that if $x_1,\ldots,x_k$ are real numbers >1 with at least one of them larger than k, then

$$x_1+\cdots+x_k < x_1\cdots x_k.$$

Lemma 1 in [3] says that if $T>3$ and

$$\frac{n}{\log n} < T, \qquad \text{then} \qquad n<(2T)\log T.$$

Taking $T := 6\times 10^{15}(\log b)^3$ in the above implication and using (31), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} n &< 12\times 10^{15}(\log b)^3\log(6\times 10^{15}(\log b)^3) \\ &< 12\times 10^{15}(\log b)^2(\log(6\times 10^{15})+3\log b) \\ &< 12\times 10^{15}(\log b)^3\times 37\times(3\log b) \\ &< 2\times 10^{18}(\log b)^4. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this back into the inequality of Lemma 7, we get

$$\begin{aligned} m &\leq 2\times 10^{17}b^6\log(2\times 10^{18}(\log b)^4) \\ &= 2\times 10^{17}(\log(2\times 10^{18})+4\log b) \\ &< 2\times 10^{17}b^6\times 43\times 4\log b \\ &< 10^{20}b^7. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\ell\leq 2n$ (see (17)), we conclude the following result.

Lemma 9. *Under the hypothesis of Problem 4, we have that*

$$n<10^{18}b^4, \qquad \ell<2\times 10^{18}b^4, \qquad m<10^{20}b^7.$$

Finally, from (10) we have that $d<X_1^2<b^{2m}$, therefore

$$d<b^{2\times 10^{20}b^7}<\exp(10^{20}b^{10}), \tag{32}$$

which together with Lemma 3 implies the desired conclusion of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgments

B. F. thanks OWSD and Sida (Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency) for a scholarship during her Ph.D. studies at Wits. She also thanks Mouhamed M. Fall of AIMS-Senegal for useful conversations.

References

[1] A. Baker "On the representation of integers by binary forms.". *Philos. Trans* . A **263** (1968), 173-208.

[2] R. D. Carmichael,"On the numerical factors of arithmetic forms $\alpha^n \pm \beta^n$", *Ann. Math. (2)* **15** (1913), 30–70.

[3] S. Gúzman and F. Luca, "Linear combinations of factorials and S-units in a binary recurrence sequence", *Ann. Math. Québec* **38** (2014), 169–188.

[4] F. Luca "A note on the Pell equation". *Indian J. Math.* **39** (1997), no. 2, 99–105.

[5] A.D Yovo, F. Luca, A. Togbe "On the x-coordinate of Pell Equation which are Repdigits", *Preprint*, 2015.

[6] E.M. Matveev, "An explicit lower bound for a homogenous rational linear form in logarithms of algebraic numbers", II,Izv. *Ross.Akad.Nauk Ser.Mat*. **64** (2000), 125-180.

[7] K.Yu, "p-adic logarithm forms and groups varieties II", *Act.Arith.***89** (1999) 337-378.

Ecole Doctorale de Mathematiques et d'Informatique
Université Cheikh Anta Diop de Dakar
BP 5005, Dakar Fann, Senegal
School of Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand
Private Bag X3, Wits 2050, South Africa

E-mail address: bernadette@aims-senegal.org

School of Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand
Private Bag X3, Wits 2050, South Africa

E-mail address: Florian.Luca@wits.ac.za